


Image



Docket No.: 221109US2PCT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER
(703) 413-3000
GMAIER@OBLON.COM

ROBERT T. POUS
(703) 413-3000
RPOUS@OBLON.COM

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/088,086

Applicants: Shouichi FUJII, et al.

Filing Date: March 21, 2002

For: GRID ARRAY ELECTRONIC COMPONENT,
WIRING-STRENGTHENING METHOD AND
PRODUCING METHOD THEREOF

Group Art Unit: 2827

Examiner: THAI, L.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

Our check in the amount of _____ is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)

(703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\cfdav\electionsandrestrictions\221109.cvr

Robert T. Pous

Registration No. 29,099

DOCKET NO: 221109US



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

SHOUICHI FUJII, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: THAI, L.

SERIAL NO: 10/088,086

:

FILED: MARCH 21, 2002

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2827

FOR: GRID ARRAY ELECTRONIC
COMPONENT, WIRING-
STRENGTHENING METHOD AND
PRODUCING METHOD THEREOF

:

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated January 6, 2004, Applicants herein elect Group I corresponding to claims 1-11 and 16, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 778 **with traverse** for prosecution in the present application.

Applicants traverses the outstanding Restriction Requirement as the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would be required if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and if all the claims were examined together. More particularly, MPEP §803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

Application No. 10/088,086
Reply to Office Action of January 6, 2004

In the present application any search of the elected method claims would also include the classes and subclasses appropriate for searching the product and apparatus claims, and so then would be no undue burden if all of the claims were examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Grégory J. Maier
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 25,599
Robert T. Pous
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 29,099

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/03)

I:\CFDA\ELECTIONS AND RESTRICTIONS\221109.REST